



**CITY OF RIALTO  
LIABILITY  
CLAIM FOR DAMAGES  
TO PERSON OR PROPERTY**

CITY CLERK'S DATE STAMP

CITY OF RIALTO  
2025 SEP 16 AM 11:06  
CITY CLERK

1. Claims for death, injury to person, or to personal property must be filed not later than six (6) months after the occurrence (Gov. Code §911.2).
2. Claims for damages to real property must be filed not later than one (1) year after the occurrence (Gov. Code §911.2).
3. READ ENTIRE CLAIM FOR BEFORE FILING
4. ATTACH SEPARATE SHEETS, IF NECESSARY, TO GIVE FULL DETAILS

**RETURN TO:**

**Rialto City Clerk's Office**

Mail: 150 S. Palm Ave., Rialto, CA 92376

Address: 290 W. Rialto Ave., Rialto, CA 92376

**CLAIMANT INFORMATION:**

QUON MAYES

1979 (46 Years Old)

FULL NAME

DATE OF BIRTH

Rialto, CA

HOME ADDRESS INCLUDING CITY, STATE & ZIP

HOME TELEPHONE NO.

BUSINESS ADDRESS INCLUDING CITY, STATE & ZIP

BUSINESS TELEPHONE NO.

ADDRESS AT WHICH CLAIMANT DESIRES TO RECEIVE  
NOTICES OR COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THIS CLAIM  
(if different from home address provided above):

Greg L. Kirakosian, KIRAKOSIAN LAW

11684 Ventura Blvd., Suite 975, Studio City, CA 91604 (213) 986-5389

1. WHEN DID DAMAGE OR INJURY OCCUR? DATE: April 14, 2025 TIME: 7:00 PM ☐ AM ☐ PM

2. PLACE OF ACCIDENT (OCCURRENCE) BE SPECIFIC – Describe fully and (if applicable) locate on diagram on reverse side of this sheet.  
Where appropriate, give street names and addresses, measurements and landmarks.

the alley behind 242 East Jackson Street, in the City of Rialto (see attachment)

3. HOW DID DAMAGE OR INJURY OCCUR?

Unlawful seizure, search, arrest (see attachment)

4. WERE POLICE AT THE SCENE? ☒ YES ☐ NO WERE PARAMEDICS AT THE SCENE? ☐ YES ☐ NO

5. WHAT PARTICULAR ACT OR OMISSION DO YOU CLAIM CAUSED THE INJURY OR DAMAGES? Give the name of the city/town employee causing the injury or damage, if known.

Unlawful seizure, search, arrest (see attachment)

6. GIVE TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIM Include estimate of amount of any prospective injury or damage \$ Above JDX Limit

HOW WAS THE ABOVE AMOUNT COMPUTED? Be specific, list doctor bills, repair estimates, etc. Please attach 2 estimates.

DAMAGES INCURRED TO DATE:

Item/Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Item/Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED AS OF PRESENTATION OF THIS CLAIM:** \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
**ESTIMATED PROSPECTIVE DAMAGES, AS FAR AS KNOWN:**  
Item/Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Item/Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
**TOTAL ESTIMATED AMOUNT PROSPECTIVE DAMAGES:** \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**7. WITNESSES TO DAMAGE OR INJURY** List all persons known to have information (attach additional pages, if necessary)

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ NAME: \_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
TELEPHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ TELEPHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

**8. IF INJURED, PROVIDE NAME, CONTACT INFORMATION AND DATE/TIME DOCTOR(S) OR HOSPITAL(S) VISITED:**


NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ NAME: \_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
TELEPHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ TELEPHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_  
DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ TIME: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ AM ☐ PM DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ TIME: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ AM ☐ PM

**9. PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING CAREFULLY:**

For all vehicle accident claims, place on the following diagram, the names of streets, including NORTH, EAST, SOUTH AND WEST directions. Indicate place of accident by "X" and by showing house numbers or distances to street corners.

If a city/town vehicle was involved, designate by letter "A" location of the City/Town vehicle when you first saw it, and by "B" location of yourself or your vehicle when you first saw City/Town vehicle; location of City/Town vehicle at time of accident by "A-1" and location of yourself or your vehicle at the time of the accident by "B-1" and the point of impact by "X".

⇒ **NOTE: IF THE DIAGRAM BELOW DOES NOT FIT THE SITUATION, PLEASE ATTACH A PROPER DIAGRAM SIGNED BY THE CLAIMANT.**



I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING CLAIM AND KNOW THE CONTENTS THEREOF; AND CERTIFY THAT THE SAME IS TRUE OF MY OWN KNOWLEDGE EXCEPT AS TO THOSE MATTERS WHICH ARE HEREIN STATED UPON MY INFORMATION AND BELIEF; AND AS TO THOSE MATTERS I BELIEVE THEM TO BE TRUE.

**I CERTIFY (OR DECLARE) UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.**

/s/ Greg L. Kirakosian

SIGNATURE OF CLAIMANT OR AGENT

Greg L. Kirakosian

TYPE OR PRINT NAME

Attorney

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT

September 11, 2025

DATE

**NOTE: PRESENTATION OF A FALSE CLAIM IS A FELONY (CA PENAL CODE 72)  
RETURN CLAIM TO: RIALTO CITY CLERK'S OFFICE - 150 S. PALM AVE., RIALTO, CA 92376**

CITY OF RIALTO  
2025 SEP 16 AM 11:09  
REC-11  
CITY CLERK

GREGORY L. KIRAKOSIAN (SBN 294580)  
[greg@kirakosianlaw.com]

**KIRAKOSIAN LAW, APC**  
11684 VENTURA BLVD., SUITE 975  
STUDIO CITY, CALIFORNIA 91604  
TELEPHONE: (213) 986-5389  
FACSIMILE: (213) 477-2355

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
QUION MAYES

**TORT CLAIM FOR DAMAGES AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE  
GOVERNMENT CODE § 910, ET SEQ.**

QUION MAYES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF RIALTO, OFFICER J.  
BANUELOS (#301), OFFICER D.  
ZUNIGA (#336), and DOE OFFICERS  
1-10,

Defendants,

**Claim No.: Unassigned**

**TORT CLAIM FOR DAMAGES  
AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE  
CITY OF RIALTO AND ITS  
INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS  
PURSUANT GOVT CODE § 910 ET  
SEQ.**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

***Unlimited Jurisdiction***

CITY OF RIALTO  
2025 SEP 16 AM 11:09

**INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff Quion Mayes ("Plaintiff"), hereby files this Tort Claim for Damages and Notice of Intent to Sue, pursuant to California Government Code § 910, et seq., against City of Rialto, Officer J. Banuelos (#301), Officer D. Zuniga (#336), and DOE Officers 1-10. For purposes of this Claim for Damages, the law enforcement officers identified herein will be collectively referred to as "Individual Officers" and all Defendants, including the City of Rialto are referred to collectively as "Defendants." Defendants are hereby being timely served with a claim for damages on or about September 11, 2025, pursuant to California Government Code §§ 910 and 911, *et seq.*

**CLAIMANTS AND CONTACT WHERE NOTICES SHOULD BE SENT:**

At all relevant times, Plaintiff resides in the City of Rialto, California. Plaintiff can be contacted through counsel as follows:

GREGORY L. KIRAKOSIAN (SBN 294580)

[[greg@kirakosianlaw.com](mailto:greg@kirakosianlaw.com)]

**KIRAKOSIAN LAW, APC**

11684 VENTURA BLVD., SUITE 975

STUDIO CITY, CALIFORNIA 91604

TELEPHONE: (213) 986-5389

FACSIMILE: (213) 477-2355

**WHERE AND WHEN DAMAGES AND INJURIES OCCURRED**

This Tort Claim seeks compensatory and punitive damages against the Individual Officers in connection to an incident that occurred on April 11, 2025, at or near Alley behind 242 East Jackson St, in the City of Rialto, in the County of San Bernardino.

**ENTITIES / GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES RESPONSIBLE**

At all relevant times, the Individual Officers were individuals residing in the County of San Bernardino, California. At all relevant times, the Individual Officers were acting under the color of law within the course and scope of their employment and duties as agents for Defendant City of Rialto. At all relevant times, the Individual Officers were acting with the complete authority and ratification of their principal, Defendant City of Rialto. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 815.2, Defendant City of Rialto are vicariously liable for the acts, omissions and conduct of its employees.

CITY OF RIALTO

2023 SEP 16 AM 11:05

1 At all relevant times, Defendant City of Rialto are and were a governmental entity  
2 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California in the County of San  
3 Bernardino, California. Defendant City of Rialto are a chartered subdivision of the State  
4 of California with the capacity to be sued. Defendant City of Rialto are responsible for  
5 the actions, omissions, policies, procedures, practices, and customs of its various  
6 employees, agents and agencies, including its own police force and its agents and  
7 employees. At all relevant times, Defendant City of Rialto were responsible for assuring  
8 that the actions, omissions, policies, procedures, practices, and customs of its police  
9 force and its employees and agents complied with the laws of the United States and of  
10 the State of California.

11 The true names and/or capacities of the Individual Officers designated as DOES  
12 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and therefore Plaintiff files this Claim for Damages  
13 against said DOE Defendants by such fictitious names. Upon filing a formal Complaint  
14 arising from the Incident, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Complaint to  
15 identify the true names and capacities of said DOE Defendants when their names are  
16 ascertained.

17 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief, alleges  
18 that, at all relevant times, each Defendant is the agent, employee, alter ego, servant,  
19 successor-in-interest and/or joint venturer of each other defendant and that in doing the  
20 things herein alleged, each Defendant was acting within the course, scope, and authority  
21 of such agency, employment, service, successor-in-interest and/or joint venture.

22 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief, alleges  
23 that, each Defendant is contractually, strictly, vicariously liable and/or otherwise legally  
24 responsible in some manner for each and every act, omission, obligation, event or  
25 happening set forth herein. Plaintiff

26 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief, alleges  
27 that, each Defendant acting as a employer or principal, was negligent in the selection  
28 and hiring of each and every other Defendant as an agent, servant, employee, successor-  
in-interest, and/or joint venturer. Further, the acts of each Defendant, were consented  
to, ratified and/or authorized and confirmed by each other defendant.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief, alleges  
that, the acts of each Defendant was fully ratified by each and all other Defendants.  
Specifically, and without limitation, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such  
information and belief, alleges that, the actions, failures to act, and breaches alleged  
herein are attributed to one or more Defendant was approved, ratified, and done with  
the cooperation and knowledge of each and all of the other Defendants.

### **SHORT STATEMENT OF INCIDENT**

This Tort Claim seeks compensatory and punitive damages against the involved officers in connection to an incident that occurred on April 11, 2025, at approximately 7:00 PM, in the alley behind 242 East Jackson Street, in the City of Rialto, County of San Bernardino.

At the time of the incident, the Plaintiff was at home when his grandchild in the custody and care of Plaintiff's daughter, became inadvertently locked inside her vehicle. The Plaintiff, along with other family members, attempted to open the vehicle to safely retrieve the child. The Plaintiff's daughter called 911 to request emergency assistance. Officers from the Rialto Police Department responded to the scene and successfully opened the vehicle. The child's mother immediately removed the child from the vehicle. At all relevant times, the Individual Officers were aware that Plaintiff did not lock the child in the vehicle, was not in the custody or care of Plaintiff, and it was not Plaintiff's vehicle or Plaintiff's child.

The Plaintiff informed the Individual Officers and emergency personnel that he would transport the child to a hospital for precautionary evaluation. Without identifying any basis for suspicion or legal justification, the Individual Officers demanded that the Plaintiff provide his identification. The Plaintiff and other individuals responded that he was not responsible for the child getting locked in the vehicle, was not involved in any criminal activity, and Plaintiff exercised his rights to decline to provide identification, as he had simply been assisting in the emergency involving his grandchild as many other individuals were.

Despite the absence of any articulable suspicion or probable cause, the Individual Officers continued to insist on obtaining the Plaintiff's identification. When the Plaintiff reiterated that he was not subject to investigation and declined again, the Individual Officers placed him in handcuffs and detained him in the back of a police vehicle for approximately 1 hour. The Plaintiff was then transported to the Rialto Police Department and subsequently transferred to West Valley Detention Center. He was released the following morning at approximately 4:00 AM, having been held in custody for a total of approximately 9 hours.

As a result of the arrest, the Plaintiff experienced physical pain in both shoulders due to the handcuffing and sought medical treatment at an urgent care facility. Additionally, the Plaintiff and his spouse, both registered foster parents to other children, were informed that a Child Protective Services investigation had been opened in connection to the arrest, potentially jeopardizing their ability to continue serving as foster caregivers.

1 The Plaintiff was criminally charged with a violation of California Penal Code §  
2 148(a)(1) (Resisting or Obstructing a Peace Officer). The case, filed under Case No.  
3 032504330. The charges were dismissed in Plaintiff's favor.

4 **UNLAWFUL SEARCH AND SEIZURE ALLEGATIONS**

5 On April 11, 2025, the Individual Officers stopped, searched, and seized the  
6 Plaintiff's person.

7 Specifically, by means of force and a show of authority, the Individual Officers  
8 commanded and ordered that the Plaintiff refrain from moving, leaving the location of  
9 the interaction, or going about his business. At all relevant times, the encounter was not  
10 a consensual encounter, but a seizure in which Plaintiff was not at liberty to ignore the  
11 police presence and go about Plaintiff's business.

12 At all relevant times before the Individual Officers conducted the search and  
13 seizure, the Individual Officers did not have a warrant to conduct the search and seizure.  
14 At all relevant times before and at the time the Individual Officers conducted the search  
15 and seizure, the Individual Officers did not have the express consent of Plaintiff to  
16 conduct the search and seizure.

17 At all relevant times before, during, and after the Individual Officers conducted  
18 the search and seizure, the Individual Officers did not state any reason or basis as to  
19 why the seizure was needed, necessary, reasonable, or lawful. At all relevant times  
20 before the Individual Officers conducted the seizure, the Plaintiff had not, did not, and  
21 was not about to commit any crime. Moreover, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and  
22 upon such information and belief, alleges that the Individual Officers observed no facts  
23 or circumstances that would lead a reasonable law enforcement officer to believe that  
24 Plaintiff had, did, or was about to commit any crime.

25 At all relevant times before the Individual Officers conducted the seizure, the  
26 Plaintiff was not subject to a lawful arrest. At all relevant times before and at the time  
27 the Individual Officers conducted the search and seizure, there was no lawful or  
28 justifiable basis that would justify the scope or length of the search and seizure. At all  
relevant times before and at the time the Individual Officers conducted the search and  
seizure, there were no facts apparent to the Individual Officers that would lead the  
Individual Officers to believe that Plaintiff's might gain possession of a weapon. At all  
relevant times before the Individual Officers conducted the search and seizure, there  
were no facts apparent to the Individual Officers that would lead the Individual Officers  
to reasonably believe that Individual Officers might destroy or hide evidence.

At all relevant times before the Individual Officers conducted the search and seizure, there were no facts apparent to the Individual Officers that would lead the Individual Officers to reasonably believe that there were any exigent circumstances where there was insufficient time to get a search warrant. When conducting the search and seizure, the Individual Officers violated Plaintiff's rights to be secure against unreasonable searches and seizures as guaranteed to Plaintiff under both State law and the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The Individual Officers conducted the search and seizure, as described herein, and did so intentionally, in that it was their conscious objective to search and seize Plaintiff. Based on the objective facts readily available and known to the Individual Officers, no reasonable conclusion could be drawn that Plaintiff had committed, was committing, or was about to commit any crime. Based on the objective facts readily available and known to the Individual Officers, no reasonable conclusion could be drawn to conclude that the search and seizure was reasonable or lawful under the circumstances. Further, Individual Officers violated not only their departmental training, but California's "Police Officer Standards and Training" policies as well all common sense and human decency.

Individual Officers used unnecessary and excessive force during the seizure of the plaintiff. Despite the plaintiff's peaceful and non-threatening conduct, the officers forcibly placed the plaintiff in handcuffs, causing physical pain and injury. As a result of the force applied, the plaintiff sustained injury to both shoulders, with ongoing pain and restricted movement, particularly in the left shoulder. The plaintiff subsequently sought medical treatment at an urgent care facility for these injuries.

The officers prepared and submitted reports accusing the plaintiff of violating California Penal Code § 148(a)(1) (Resisting or Obstructing a Peace Officer), despite the absence of any obstructive conduct by the plaintiff. At all relevant times, the officers knew or had reason to know that the plaintiff had not engaged in any criminal behavior but proceeded with the investigation and referral for prosecution.

Prior to and during the Incident, the Individual Officers fabricated caused to investigate and continue to investigate Plaintiff despite the fact that they knew that Plaintiff was innocent, or deliberately indifferent to Defendants' innocence. Moreover, the results of the investigation were used to criminally charge and prosecute Plaintiff. Prior to, during, and after the Incident, the Individual Officers deliberately fabricated observations and evidence that the Individual Officers used to initiate criminal charges and prosecution of Plaintiff. The Individual Officers engaged in deliberate efforts to cover up their own wrongful conduct by providing false statements and testimony, or authorizing or approving false reports, and/or aiding and abetting the preparation, authorization, or approval of false reports to maliciously charge and prosecute Plaintiff of crimes they knew that Plaintiff was innocent, or deliberately indifferent to Defendants' innocence. The plaintiff was booked and held in custody based solely on



1 his refusal to provide identification when he was not under investigation or suspected  
2 of a crime.

3 The Individual Officers knew that their conduct could and would likely result in  
4 the violation of Plaintiff's State and Federal civil rights. The Individual Officers knew  
5 that their conduct could and would likely result in further significant injury or the  
6 unnecessary and wanton infliction of emotional distress. The Individual Officers  
7 disregarded the risks that their conduct would likely result in a violation of rights and  
8 cause damages to Plaintiff.

9 **ACTS OR OMISSION CAUSING DAMAGES / INJURIES:**

10 As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff suffered damages including, but not limited  
11 to: past and future economic and non-economic losses and the violation of Plaintiff's  
12 State and Federal civil rights.

13 Due to the conduct of the Individual Officers, Plaintiff has been required to incur  
14 Attorneys' fees and will continue to incur attorneys' fees, all to Plaintiff's damage in a  
15 sum to be proved at trial and recoverable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

16 As a result of the misconduct alleged herein, the Individual Officers are liable for  
17 Plaintiff's injuries, either because they were integral participants in causing damages  
18 and the violation of Plaintiff's civil rights, or because they failed to intervene to prevent  
19 these violations.

20 The Individual Officers acted in a willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive  
21 manner with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights conferred upon Plaintiff by  
22 Section 1983, Title 42 of the United States Code, the Fourth Amendment to the United  
23 States Constitution, and California Civil Code Section 3333, by intentionally,  
24 knowingly, and recklessly causing damages and the violation of Plaintiff's civil rights.  
25 In so acting, the Individual Officers manifested a conscious or reckless disregard for  
26 Plaintiff's rights, so as to justify the assessment of punitive and exemplary damages  
27 against the Individual Officers in a sum to be established according to proof.

28 For the conduct alleged above, Plaintiff hereby inform you and provide notice  
that Plaintiff intends on bringing the following causes of action:

Negligence, Gross Negligence, Negligence Per Se, and Professional Negligence;  
Assault, Battery, and Negligent and Intentional Use of Excessive Force;  
Unlawful Search and Seizure, and False Arrest and Imprisonment;  
Malicious Prosecution, Abuse of Process, and Negligent and Intentional  
Fabrication and Withholding of Evidence);

1 Negligent and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress;  
2 Negligent and Intentional Failure to Intervene and Prevent Violations of Others;  
3 California's Unruh, Ralph, and Bane Civil Rights Act (Violation of Civil Code §  
4 51, et seq., Violation of Civil Code § 52 et seq., and Violation of Civil Code § 54, et  
5 seq.);  
6 Negligent Hiring, Training, and Retention, and Violations of Policy, Practice, or  
7 Custom; and  
8 Violation of Civil Rights 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

9 At all relevant times, the Individual Officers were acting with the complete  
10 authority and ratification of their principal, Defendant City of Rialto. Pursuant to  
11 California Government Code Section 815.2, Defendant City of Rialto is vicariously  
12 liable for the acts, omissions and conduct of its employees and vicariously liable for all  
13 State claims set forth herein.

#### 14 **REQUEST TO PRESERVE ALL EVIDENCE**

15 Please consider this letter to be a formal request that you **PRESERVE ALL**  
16 **EVIDENCE** in connection to this matter. Please preserve all reports, statements,  
17 photographs, video evidence, security footage, complaints, personnel records, human  
18 resources records, cleaning records, and any and all other evidence in connection to this  
19 matter. Please maintain and prepare to disclose **ALL Officer Worn Body Camera**  
20 **Footage** and any/all other forms of video, audio, or documented evidence in the  
21 possession and control of City of Rialto related to the Incident, including but not limited  
22 to any audio recordings, dispatch calls, radio communications, 911 calls, officer logs,  
23 supervisory logs, any and all notes, reports, records, investigative files, or all other  
24 evidence related to the Incident.

25 ///

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff Quion Mayes, prays for relief and judgment against City of Rialto and its Individual Officers in an amount exceeding the jurisdictional limit of \$35,000.00, and includes as follows:

1. For past and future general damages in an amount to be determined by proof at trial;
2. For past and future special damages in an amount to be determined by proof at trial;
3. For punitive and exemplary damages against the Individual Officers;
4. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by statute;
5. For all penalties as provided by statute;
6. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
7. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby notify you that he intends on demanding a trial by jury for all causes of action alleged in the forthcoming Complaint for Damages.

Please direct any future correspondence to our office. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact our office. Thank you.

Date: September 11, 2025

**KIRAKOSIAN LAW, APC**

By

GREG L. KIRAKOSIAN  
RAFFI S. BATANIAN

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
QUION MAYES

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action; my business address is 644 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017.

On September 11, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as **TORT CLAIM FOR DAMAGES AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE PURSUANT GOVT CODE § 910 ET SEQ.:**, on the interested parties in this action addressed as follows:

City of Rialto  
City Clerk's Office  
150 S. Palm Ave.  
Rialto, CA 92376QUI

Said service was made by placing true copies thereof AND,

- ☒ **(BY MAIL)** I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice a true copy would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- ☐ **(BY PERSONAL SERVICE)** I caused personal delivery of said document(s) to the offices of the addressee(s) as set forth on the attached mailing list.
- ☐ **(BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY SERVICE)** I caused such envelope to be deposited with an overnight delivery service (Federal Express) for overnight delivery or by the following day to the addresses set forth on the attached mailing list.

☒ (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

☒ (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on September 11, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**SEVAN PETROSIAN**

**P**

US POSTAGE & FEES PAID IMI  
1 LB PRIORITY MAIL RATE  
ZONE 2  
Commercial

Stamps.com  
063S0014950565  
9101217  
FROM 91604




09/11/2025

**USPS PRIORITY MAIL®**

KIRAKOSIAN LAW  
A Professional Corporation  
11684 Ventura Blvd Pmb 975  
Studio City CA 91604-2699

**0003**

SHIP TO: City of Rialto  
City Clerk's Office  
 150 S Palm Ave  
Rialto CA 92376-6406

**USPS TRACKING #**



**9405 5111 0549 5889 1743 14**



CITY OF RIALTO  
2025 SEP 16 AM 11:08  
CITY CLERK