

1 **WHEREAS**, the 30-day public review period for the Notice of Intent to Adopt an Initial
2 Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Project opened on October 25, 2025 closed on
3 November 26, 2025, comments received are attached to the IS/MND (Exhibit “A”); and

4 **WHEREAS**, Based on the findings and recommended mitigation measures identified in
5 the IS/MND, staff determined that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the
6 environment with mitigation incorporated. All potential impacts identified in the IS/MND —
7 including, but not limited to, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, greenhouse gas
8 emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, noise, transportation, and tribal cultural resources —
9 will be reduced to less than significant levels through the imposition of the mitigation measures
10 contained within the project’s Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and

11 **WHEREAS**, the City published the public hearing notice in the San Bernardino Sun
12 newspaper and posted in public places as required by State law; and

13 **WHEREAS**, on January 21, 2026, the Planning Commission of the City of Rialto
14 conducted a duly noticed public hearing, as required by law, on the IS/MND for the 2021-2029
15 (6th Cycle) General Plan Housing Element (Environmental Assessment Review No. 25-0020), the
16 2021-2029 Sixth Cycle Housing Element Update (GPA No. 25-0001), ZCA No. 25-0002, SPA
17 No. 25-0001, and SPA No. 25-0002, heard testimony, at which time it received input from staff
18 and the city attorney; received public testimony; discussed the proposed IS/MND for the 2021-
19 2029 (6th Cycle) General Plan Housing Element (Environmental Assessment Review No. 25-
20 0020), ZCA No. 25-0002, SPA No. 25-0001, and SPA No. 25-0002; and closed the public hearing;
21 and

22 **WHEREAS**, the Planning Commission voted 6-0 to recommend the City Council approve
23 the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration; and

24 **WHEREAS**, on February 24, 2026, the City Council of the City of Rialto conducted a
25 duly noticed public hearing, as required by law, on the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative
26 Declaration for the 2021-2029 (6th Cycle) Housing Element (Environmental Assessment Review
27 No. 25-0020), ZCA No. 25-0002, SPA No. 25-0001, and SPA No. 25-0002, heard testimony, at
28 which time it received input from staff and the city attorney; received public testimony; discussed

1 the proposed IS/MND for the 2021-2029 (6th Cycle) General Plan Housing Element
2 (Environmental Assessment Review No. 25-0020), ZCA No. 25-0002, SPA No. 25-0001, and SPA
3 No. 25-0002; and closed the public hearing; and

4 **WHEREAS**, all legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred.

5 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Rialto as
6 follows:

7 **SECTION 1:** The City Council hereby finds all of the above recitals to be true and correct.

8 **SECTION 2:** The City of Rialto is the Lead Agency for the Project, as determined by
9 CEQA. Based on the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the 2021-2029 (6th Cycle)
10 General Plan Housing Element (Environmental Assessment Review No. 25-0020), it has been
11 determined that:

- 12 1. The Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment with mitigation
13 incorporated.
- 14 2. All potential impacts identified in the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration —
15 including, but not limited to, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources,
16 greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, noise, transportation, and
17 tribal cultural resources — will be reduced to less than significant levels through the
18 imposition of the mitigation measures contained within the project’s Mitigation Monitoring
19 and Reporting Program.
- 20 3. The Project will not have any significant effects that are not identified and discussed in the
21 Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, and there are no feasible, or considerably
22 different, mitigation measures or alternatives which would substantially reduce one or
23 more significant effects of the Project which the applicant declines to adopt.
24
25
26
27
28

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO) ss
3 CITY OF RIALTO)
4

5 I, BARBARA MCGEE, City Clerk of the City of Rialto, do hereby certify that the foregoing
6 Resolution No. ____ was duly passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the
7 City of Rialto held on the ____ day of _____, 2026.

8 Upon motion of Councilmember _____, seconded by Councilmember
9 _____, the foregoing Resolution No. ____ was duly passed and adopted.

10 Vote on the motion:

11 AYES:

12 NOES:

13 ABSENT:

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the Official Seal of the City of
15 Rialto this ____ th day of _____, 2026.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BARBARA MCGEE, CITY CLERK

Exhibit “A”

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program are attached to the Legal Report as “Exhibit B” and available to view online by clicking this link: <https://www.rialtoca.gov/633/Plan-to-House-Our-Rialto-Housing-Element>.