

Thursday, March 17, 2016

TIME: 8:52 mm	•						
REVIEWER: B2111	•						
			SCO	RING			
	Inadequate		Adeo	quate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	(20)	25	30
Comments:							-
	Inadequate		Adec	quate		Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	∕ 20∖	25	W
Comments:					\cup		
-							_
							-
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Inadequate		Aded		Æ	Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")					720	25	\sim
Comments: _			·				-
-							_
	Inadequate		Adec	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0				120	25	\\\ \nabla_{\nabla}
					\bigcirc		
30						<u>-</u>	-
-						_	_
_							



Thursday, March 17, 2016

TIME: /0:15	_						
REVIEWER: BRITT	-						
			SCO	RING			
	Inadequate	• •	Adec	quate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10)	15	20	25	
Comments:							-
	Inadequate	_		uate		Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT Comments:	0	5	10	(15)	20	25	
Comments.							-
							-
	Inadequate		Adec	ıuate		Excellent	-
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10	15	120	25	
Comments:					0		
							_
							-
	Inadequate		Adeo	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	(ds)	20	25	
Comments:							
,							_
					<u> </u>		



Thursday, March 17, 2016

FIRM: RHA TIME: 9:30 REVIEWER: BRITT	• •						
			SCO	RING			
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate	-	Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	(15)	20	25	
Comments:							
9					1.0		-
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	(20)	25	
Comments:							
							-
	Inadequate		Adea	uate 🦳		Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION") Comments:	0	_	10	(15)	20	25	
DESPONSE TO OUTSTIONS	Inadequate	_	Adeq	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS Comments:	0	5	10	(15)	20		
	;						



Thursday, March 17, 2016

FIRM: RRM TIME: 11:00 And REVIEWER: BeTIT							
REVIEWER. DETT	•						
			SCO	RING			
	Inadequate	•	Adec	luate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	(15)	20	25	
Comments:							
							-
	Inadequate		Adec			Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	(20)	25	
Comments:							
ii ii							•
	Tandanista	·-·					
ADDDOACH TO DDO IFOT (IN/ICIONII)	Inadequate	_	Adeq		(50)	Excellent	
` '	0	5	10	15	20	25	
Comments:							•
9							
							ı
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	<u> </u>	25	
Comments:	Ū	3	10	10	40	20	
Comments:	-						t
							i
•							



FIRM: Schmidt Design Comp

DESIGN SERVICES FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RFP #16-043

Thursday, March 17, 2016

TIME: 8:07							
REVIEWER: Ban	_						
			sco	RING			
	Inadequate		Ade	quate	•	Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	(15)	20	25	15
Comments:							
2							•
	Inadequate		Ade	guate	-	Excellent	<u>-</u>
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15		25	20
Comments:	-				•		
							•
	Inadequate		Ade	ruoto.	··	Excellent	-
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")		5	10	quate 15	7/10	25	w
-					20	25	· W
omments.	-						•
	Inadequate		Ade			Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	@	25	_ } 20
Comments:							

TOTAL SCORE: つち





Thursday, March 17, 2016

TIME: 845 AM	_						
REVIEWER: Perry Brents	-						
	-		SCOI	RING			
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
Comments:							
							_
;	-						_
LINDEDGTANDING OF DDG 150T	Inadequate	_	Adeq			Excellent	25
1	0		10			25	25
Comments:					_		-
						<u> </u>	-
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Inadequate		Adeq	juate		Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10		20	25	20
Comments:							
							_
							
	Inadequate		Adeq			Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	25	25
Comments:							
							_
							_
							

TOTAL SCORE: 70



FIRM: David Evans

DESIGN SERVICES FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RFP #16-043

THAIL: 10 12 Tilat	_						
REVIEWER: Perry Brents							
			SCOF	SING			
	La sala sa t						
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	(20)	25	20
Comments:							
							-
							_
				<u> </u>			-
		<u></u>					
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
Comments:							
Comments.							_
							_
							_
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10	(15)	20	25	15
Comments:							63
Comments:							-
		•					_
ą.						_	_
						=	
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	25	
			.0		20	20	()
Comments:							_

TOTAL SCORE:

SHALING CALLED

FIRM:

RHA

DESIGN SERVICES FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RFP #16-043

TIME: 930 AM	_						
REVIEWER: Perry Brents	_						
· ·			SCOI	RING			
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	20 🚤	<u> </u>	25
Comments:	:						_
	Ş .						-
	Inadequate		Adeq	ıuate		Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	20	25	75
Comments:		_					
- Communication	Costs '	ነ					=
						_	_
	Inadequate		Adeq			Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10	15	20	25	100
Comments:							_
	-						-
							<u></u>
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	(25)	25
Comments:							
							-
							2



FIRM:

DESIGN SERVICES FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RFP #16-043

Thursday, March 17, 2016

TIME: // 100 AL/							
REVIEWER: Perry Brents							
,			sco	RING			
	Inadequate			quate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10		20	(25)	20
Comments:							
			···				_
		·	A-J-			F	
LINDERGTANDING OF PROJECT	Inadequate	_		quate		Excellent	
	0	-	10	15	20	25	2)
Comments:							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_
	Inadequate		Ade	quate		Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10	15	20	25	25
Comments:							رح
)							
				•			
	Inadequate		Aded	quate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	(20)	25	20
Comments:							
							<u>-</u>
					=\(\)		-
						· -	

TOTAL SCORE: 80



DESIGN SERVICES FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RFP #16-043

TIME: X AM - 8 33 AM	<u>-</u> 1						
REVIEWER: Perry Brents							
·			SCOF	RING			
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10		(20)	25	20
Comments:							
is a second			·				- -
			 .				-
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10		(20)	25	20
Comments:	-	_				20	
31111131							÷
							- -
APPROACH TO PRO ITOT (III) (IOLONIII)	Inadequate		Adeq			Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")			10	15	(20)	25	20
Comments:							-
							-
							-
	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
Comments:							
							_
-							



TIME: 9:50							
REVIEWER: Katie Nickel	c.						
			SCOR	ING			
	Inadequate		Adequ	ıate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	20	25	25
Comments:							
			7				
						-	·
	Inadequate		Adequ			Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	20	25	25
Flew sik w) Drone Comments:	Bilingue	V					•
Trail site	Sherwood	d Im	reast Pan	K Plan	Men.		•
Nace Sile							
	Inadequate	_	Adequ	ate	* 20	Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	Commun	5	10	15 ر	20 L L	25 مارى مار	25
	Design	C De	wic by c		ista	TC Sulve	y bsiavo
3D modeling	J. Son a	7 7		O TOUTOUS .			
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	Inadequate 0	5	Adequ 10		20	Excellent 25	30
Comments:	Herre	5	10	15 - an b		25	90
bront Frenching	THEOR	y y	LA MINITER	am v	course.		•
1 June 1 Coloney							•
				· · · -			·-
					TOTA	AL SCORE:	



FIRM: David Evans						
REVIEWER: Vasie Nickel						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		SCORING	3		
	Inadequate		Adequate		Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	•	15 20	25	Score
Comments:		0 1	· · · · · ·		<u> </u>	<u></u>
	Turnin	g fourt	- UDK	e on surv	ey instan	7
						<u> </u>
	Inadequate		Adequate	-	Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0			15 20	25	15
Cust-Savika Comments:	sale au	cers @ F	visbie	fack ; par	King issue cu	mently
Cost-Saviks Comments: - fewer (3) instead of 5	endivoni	rentrel	Impac	<u>te</u>		<u> </u>
						-
	Inadequate		Adequate		Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10	15 20	25	15
Comments:			- acces	5- Bille	Path to	_
a a	Andresn			 		_
	Dike Path	m Cach	us cen	trued		-
	Inadequate		Adequate		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5 1	-	15 20	25	16
Grant in Novembacomments:	Grant 1				e cost est	
Propert	Purchase		ctive - o	livent Dur	hase	
Licksd						
Cal Yman + Societ						 -
UNI IMPRATIONAL				TC	TAL SCORE.	



Thursday, March 17, 2016

FIRM: RHA TIME: 9:30 REVIEWER: Kutce Nickel				
		SCORING		
In: INTERVIEW PRESENTATION Comments:	Builf terry Master Plan	Adequate 10 15 son football for the factor of the factor	20	25 Score
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT Rendall - Sungh Comments: Buffer rended Cust-estimate	Community Wash-flo	Adequate 10 15 nortreach ad control trail spank	20	25 25
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION") Design Park Comments: four group	nadequate 0 5 Ken leadershis Fadbook Pace Websik Siok	Adequate 10 15 p/Foars Group for the park	20 	ccellent 25 8000
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS Comments:	o 5 They Know	Adequate 10 15 grant writer	20	25 26



Thursday, March 17, 2016

Prop 84 Storn Funding DWR

FIRM: RR M Design drange TIME: 11:00 REVIEWER: Katie Nickel	<u>.</u> -						
			SCOF	RING			
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION Commissioner Sports Comments:			Adeq 10 / larks n	15 eed	20	Excellent 25	Score 15
people makes the space. Trigills	Jim Wolfe-	_	who Dir Team - 1				-
CPTED - Crime Prevent UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT Ulerest Fun Safe Comments:		- nec	es for s	evice.	20 Pactors Every	Excellent 25 in inch of s	paæ
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION") Cactus Bridge Comments: Cactus Charnel from Cactus I Rondell to Andreson		viran	Adeq 10 shength enterfrey un issues	15 2nte +		Excellent 25	<u> </u>
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS Comments:	Inadequate 0	5	Adeqi 10	uate 15	20	Excellent 25	15
							2



Thursday, March 17, 2016

Orchides Award 11

FIRM: Schmidt Design TIME: \$10 REVIEWER: Latie Nickel							
			SCORIN	G			
	Inadequate		Adequate			Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION Comments:	0	5	10	15	20	25	15
- -							
	Inadequate		Adequate			Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT Product Estimate History Afformments:	0 Durah	5 de fails	10	15	20	25 -worder	20
etude historical elements	Water Frishie - At Randall -	quality.					
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION") Survey - I mile reach Comments:		5 tivity	Adequate 10	15	20	Excellent 25	15
	Commi		wilvener	+			
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS Comments:	Inadequate 0	5	Adequate 10	15	20	Excellent 25	15
Comments.	1 777 0						

E MALITO CILLE

DESIGN SERVICES FOR PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS RFP #16-043

Thursday, March 17, 2016

FIRM: Community Works TIME: 8:45 REVIEWER: Jeff Jahater	s Desist	Grou					
			SCORI	NG			
	Inadequate		Adequa	ate	-	Excellent	Score
INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	20	25	15
Therwood Park Comments:							
							_
							-
	Inadequate		Adequa			Excellent	
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10	15	20	25	15
Comments:							_
							_
						_	-
	Inadequate		Adequa	ate.		Excellent	
APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")		5	10		20	25	16
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second second	,	ns cut ou				/V ¹
Comments.	10	<i>y y</i>	MI CUT OU	'/P'	. (. / ~/.	m/ Ta	7
	30 Model	77	CA COLJA	144	MINY MIN	y Cos	_
	40 - 10V-(VI	110	- 1		-	-	
	Inadequate		Adequa	ite		Excellent	
RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	25	15
Comments:	Grant W	enitar	on the	.			1/
	1 444.1- 00		WH WAY F				.
							- -



RFP #16-043

Thursday, March 17, 2016

chris - PM LLA

chris - PM LLA

multi- Discipline Team

ce

communications

co

FIRM:	David	Evan	* Associ	ates
TIME:	10:	15		
REVIEV	VER: J	eff -	Tchafe	7

Vipol na	of culate - dore to freeze			SCOF	RING				
mag por	PIBLUSCUL OF OFFICERA	Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent	Score	
	INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	20	25	21	
	CONCAY JERCHON - HARA COmments	:							
	environ mental Traffit Noise								
83	Rehinal Thedit for	4×		_					
		Inadaguata		Adog	uoto		Evaclant		
	UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	Ciry 0	5	Adeq 10	15	20	Excellent 25	21	
	- Del actività di la companya di la	/					C /	7/1	-
	re-spen bike path	Telocuta	LANGER V	C DOLOS	New Oth	tru / /an	CAL DO	7 31 Repl	140
		DOMINOSA	to Carta	s-t Avoid	COUNTRY!	Youd ind	GALAND	1924	
	Friesbie-maintain existing flows	-carange 1	CILCH VI.	1-1700014		7 104 7 7		· 	
		Inadequate		Adeq	uate		Excellent		
	APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	, 10	15	20	25	21	
	Access to Frist p Comments	coordinati	My with	design toa	m /onli	11 June			
	Medic Craft walks and 5/46 volls			votins/) [/]		
	treeway noise/renound	out Mar	1 70 5	-hool+				ļ	
	Basefull Panks	Inadequate		Adeq			Excellent	40	
	RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	25	20	
	Property Writing Comments	- (A) +							
	E stock / 9th park in 5B alifornish <	occi (Man)							
	RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS Prop by writing Comments the in your and park in B with a comment of the park in B with a comment of t	=======================================				<u> </u>			
	E consiste des arres 2 recon	mended	Į)inect pum	have ay	Eguin	put		
	3 autopos / to round	12PM +=		CO-00-00	rue,	TOTAL	SCORE	83	
	5 concepts too many 2 recommend extensive street impro Knowledge of Grants -> 1.	Ju an ADA Desc	ax '	Dinect Pun Co-Open Cux-Oux 17 Gener	nark-y	10 60	_		
	Kniwledge of GMUTS - 7 1	SINGONO!	V.	17 Gener	es (Con	racity			



Thursday, March 17, 2016

	FIRM: RHA TIME: 9:30 REVIEWER: LEFT JCHAFEN	43	(A-Envi	Allynouth Docum Litim DOCT/COM SCORING Adequate	ent ceptual Gradi	Ŋ	
	INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	Inadequate 0					Score
	CAKE EN INCOMING Comments:		5	10 15	20	25 	21
	CHOLING CONTRACTOR						-
5	LAA -						
		Inadequate		Adequate		Excellent	
M.	UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT	0	5	10 15		25	23
	Comments:			latrantact on	sclow unta	ce	-
	Truff considerations/connectivity	Tite 111	Minaza				-
		Inadequate		Adequate		Excellent	
	APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10 15	20	25	21
	Key Leady Four Groups Comments:	Keep Dept	in the	Opp, Dasse Map	dent earlier	Privil	Turvey
	community Meetings	Wailed Co	X Estin	West wal for ax	Aun)		
า	Droped website	Inadequate		Adequate		Excellent	
	RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10 15	20	25	20
	Comments:	Grant W	nite	Consulfanx A	Va: 106/4		
	-	C4150 -	THAT G	nunt Entrimce			.
							<u> </u>

	FIRM: RRM Design Group TIME: 11:00 REVIEWER: Jeff Jchafer	Thursday, March	17, 2016, Strand-Ci Sill Strand-Ci Amouda-Par Mikt-Par Vines 300+ Parks com SCORING	ivil Eng. (water 6) / Landstype / mitted to a consti	Inality, Ath
84	INTERVIEW PRESENTATION Interstry/Fun Tate Layou/Comments:	Inadequate	Adequate 10 15	Excellent 20 25	st <u>Score</u>
king	UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT ROWER REST MEETING Comments: Reviewed General Jan Maximize recognitional Us,	Inadequate 0 5 Agess the needs of	Adequate 10 15 ONGARM ACCESSMENT SAN/Expany Value	Excellent 20 25	22
	APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION") Reduce the Experiments:	the acease make to	Adequate 10 15 14 xex - engast the 18 a lace / 2001 to entry 18 a lace foult weeks		1 2/ Social media
	RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS HASSE PE INDIENT MENOTION Comments: EXPECTIVE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT	Inadequate 0 5 Help write 3	Adequate 10 15 15 15	Excellent 20 25	20

Kestral Consulting - Grants for Pullich sencies
TOTAL SCORE: 84



	REVIEWER: Jeff Jchater	–						
				SCORI	NG			
		Inadequate	_	Adequa			Excellent	Score
	INTERVIEW PRESENTATION	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
	KNOW the Fire Comments		innin	W0/4	CAMM UN	the hard	dia sk	
80	14 160 11/6 Agr.	Thousand to	1 1/1/1/	WV/ //	Complete Cont	7 gwii	unjak _	
. /		Inadequate		Adequa			Excellent	
Tron	UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT Comments	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
201.	Comments	· Working to	const	ntus.	MARTINZ	with of	sate hilds	45
N	water quality	Mext-Lin	F/VF-5/P	Mank				
2/10	0000. 14-4//							
SIL		Inadequate		Adequa			Excellent	
ıΝ	APPROACH TO PROJECT ("VISION")	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
		i Ruly Addy	<u> Úl – C IM</u>	is to Kny	ARX CO	ver front	1 provide	C enjided
	understandingument	Authorized	D+8L v17	5 Ful Huga	Linkle	*/ 106	IMEIN//	Justi.
l	ates de Jan.	**********	- Vivia	/ (V	7			4 - Shribus
		Inadequate		Adequa			Excellent	
	RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS	0	5	10	15	20	25	20
	Comments	: Proport 50	mys/s	MANT 1	MULAIX	by gro	ux	
		=				10		
Į								
	7 /a. ~ 1= F and 10 = 1	For place	makin.					