## **RESOLUTION NO. <u>18-XX</u>**

A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF RIALTO, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING VARIANCE NO. 2018-0002 TO INCREASE THE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT FROM 35 FEET TO 44 FEET OF A 74,466 SQUARE FOOT WAREHOUSE BUILDING ON 3.72 GROSS ACRES OF LAND (APN: 0132-191-15) LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF VALLEY BOULEVARD APPROXIMATELY 330 FEET EAST OF LILAC AVENUE WITHIN THE INDUSTRIAL PARK (I-P) ZONE OF GATEWAY SPECIFIC PLAN.

WHEREAS, the applicant, CDRE Holdings 12, LLC, proposes to develop a 74,466 square foot warehouse building ("Development") on 3.72 gross acres of land (APN: 0132-191-15) located on the south side of Valley Boulevard approximately 330 feet east of Lilac Avenue within the Industrial Park (I-P) zone of the Gateway Specific Plan ("Site"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18.35.030A of the Rialto Municipal Code, the maximum building height within the I-P zone shall be 35 feet; and

WHEREAS, the proposed building height of the Development is 44 feet from the finished grade level of the Site; and

WHEREAS, the building height of the Development does not comply with Section 18.35.030A of the Rialto Municipal Code, thus requiring an increase in the maximum building height within the I-P zone in order to facilitate the Development ("Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 18.64.030 of the Rialto Municipal Code, the Project requires the approval of a Variance, and the applicant has agreed to apply for Variance No. 2018-0002 ("VAR No. 2018-0002"); and

WHEREAS, on November 28, 2018, the Planning Commission of the City of Rialto conducted a duly noticed public hearing, as required by law, on VAR No. 2018-0002, took testimony, at which time it received input from staff, the city attorney, and the applicant; heard public testimony; discussed the VAR No. 2018-0002; and closed the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, all legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Commission of the City of

Rialto as follows:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The Planning Commission hereby specifically finds that all of the facts set forth in the recitals above of this Resolution are true and correct and incorporated herein.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> Based on substantial evidence presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing conducted with regard to VAR No. 2018-0002, including written staff reports, verbal testimony, site plan, other documents, and the conditions of approval stated herein, the Planning Commission hereby determines that VAR No. 2018-0002 satisfies the requirements of the Section 18.64.020 of the Rialto Municipal Code pertaining to the findings which must be made precedent to granting a variance. The findings are as follows:

1. There are exceptional circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, or to the intended use of the property, that do not apply generally to the property or class of use in the same vicinity or district.

## This finding is supported by the following facts:

Strict enforcement of the maximum building height restriction of 35 feet required by the I-P zone will prevent the applicant from providing the highest quality design and securing a tenant and/or buyer for the proposed building. The applicant will construct the proposed project as a modern industrial building. There is a high demand for buildings with higher clear ceilings in order to accommodate the storage/racking needs my industrial users now require. This is due in large part to the rapidly expanding e-commerce sector. For this reason, the marketplace views existing industrial buildings with lower clear heights as inferior and often face difficulty competing with higher clear height buildings. Over time, this requirement for higher clear heights will become more stringent, rendering existing buildings with lower clear heights potentially obsolete, thereby increasing the likelihood of extended periods of vacancy.

2. This variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the applicant as possessed by other property owners in the same vicinity and district.

This finding is supported by the following facts:

The proposed development offers the highest and best use of the property under the current zoning. However, in order to develop a viable warehouse building that allows for

the installation of the latest technologies and equipment used by logistics companies, a building height in excess of 35 feet is required. The variance will further assist the developer in securing a tenant, which otherwise might not be possible. As previously mentioned, a warehouse project to the west recently received a variance allowing a building height of 47 feet. Without a variance, the applicant cannot construct a competitive distribution warehouse on the site, thereby giving an unfair advantage other industrial warehouse/development projects not restricted by the 35-foot building height limitation.

3. The granting of this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in such vicinity and district in which the property is located.

*This finding is supported by the following facts:* 

The 9-foot difference in building height is negligible considering the proposed warehouse to the west will stand even higher at 47 feet and the motel immediately adjacent to the west features a tower element that reaches up to 58.8 feet. The warehouse building will have a high-quality design and will not be unsightly or otherwise injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity or detrimental to public welfare.

4. The proposed use and development are consistent with the General Plan and objectives of the zoning ordinance.

## This finding is supported by the following facts:

Granting the variance will facilitate the development of a high-quality warehouse building in keeping with General Plan Land Use Element Goal 2-22, which requires the City to "Promote commercial and/or industrial development planned that is well designed, people-oriented, environmentally sustainable, sensitive to the needs of the visitor or resident, and functionally efficient for its purpose". Additionally, a precedent has already been set to allow I-P projects beyond the 35-foot maximum building height, as established by Variance No. 2017-0011 for Panattoni Development Company, Inc., as many warehousing projects require building heights with an interior clearance of at least 35 feet and an exterior height well above that to shield rooftop equipment.

SECTION 3. An Initial Study has been prepared for the proposed project in accordance

with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and it has been determined that any impacts

will be reduced to a level of insignificance and a Mitigated Negative Declaration has been prepared

in accordance with CEQA. The Planning Commission directs the Planning Division to file the

necessary documentation with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for San Bernardino County.

## 26 27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	SECTION 4. That VAR No. 2018-0002 is granted to CDRE Holdings 12, LLC, in	
2	accordance with the plans and application on file with the Planning Division, subject to the	;
3	following conditions:	
4	1. Variance No. 2018-0002 is approved to increase the maximum building height from 35	
5	feet to 44 feet for a proposed 74,466 square foot warehouse building, as shown on the plans submitted to the Planning Division on August 15, 2018, and as approved by the	
6	Planning Commission.	
7	2. City inspectors shall have access to the Site to reasonably inspect the Site during normal working hours to accurate compliance with these conditions and other codes	L
8	working hours to assure compliance with these conditions and other codes.	
9	3. The applicant shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Rialto, its agents, officers, or employees from any claims, damages, action, or proceeding against the	
10	City or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul any	7
11	approval of the City, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning VAR No. 2018-0002. The City will promptly notify the applicant of any	
12	such claim, action, or proceeding against the City, and applicant will cooperate fully in the defense.	,
13		
14	4. In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 66020(d)(1), the imposition of fees, dedications, reservations, or exactions for this Project, if any, are	
15	subject to protest by the applicant at the time of approval or conditional approval of the Project or within 90 days after the date of the imposition of the fees, dedications,	2
16	reservations, or exactions imposed on the Project.	
17	5. The Applicant shall complete and abide by all mitigation measures contained within the	ļ
18	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program associated with Environmental Assessment Review No. 2018-0046 prior to issuance of any Certificate of Occupancy.	
19		
20	6. The Applicant shall coordinate with the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians-Kizh Nation, prior to the issuance of a grading permit, to allow a Native American Monitor to	
21	be located on-site during all ground disturbances, or as required by the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians-Kizh Nation. The applicant shall provide the Planning Division a	l
22	copy of a contract or other documentation between the applicant and the Gabrieleño	
23	Band of Mission Indians-Kizh Nation prior to the issuance of a grading permit.	
24	SECTION 5. The Chairman of the Planning Commission shall sign the passage and	l
25	adoption of this resolution and thereupon the same shall take effect and be in force.	
26		
27		
28		
	4	

1	PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this <u>28th</u> day of <u>November, 2018.</u>
2	
3	
4	JOHN PEUKERT, CHAIR
5	CITY OF RIALTO PLANNING COMMISSION
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO ) ss
3	CITY OF RIALTO )
4	
5	I, Adrianna Martinez, Administrative Assistant of the City of Rialto, do hereby certify that
6	the foregoing Resolution No was duly passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the
7	Planning Commission of the City of Rialto held on the <u>th</u> day of <u>,</u> 2018.
8	Upon motion of Planning Commissioner, seconded by Planning Commissioner
9	, the foregoing Resolution Nowas duly passed and adopted.
10	Vote on the motion:
11	AYES:
12	NOES:
13	ABSENT:
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the Official Seal of the City
15	of Rialto this <u>th</u> day of <u>,</u> 2018.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ADRIANNA MARTINEZ, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	01180.0005/522261.1 -6-