

**REGULAR MEETING
of the
CITY OF RIALTO
CITY COUNCIL
City of Rialto, acting as Successor Agency to the
Redevelopment Agency**

**MINUTES
April 28, 2020**

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Rialto was held in the City Council Chambers located at 150 South Palm Avenue, Rialto, California 92376, and via Teleconference on Tuesday, April 28, 2020.

0o0

This meeting was called by the presiding officer of the Rialto City Council in accordance with the provisions of **Government Code §54956** of the State of California.

0o0

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Deborah Robertson called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

0o0

The roll was called, and the following were present: Mayor Deborah Robertson, Mayor Pro Tem Ed Scott, Council Member Joe Baca Jr., Council Member Rafael Trujillo and Council Member Andy Carrizales. Also present were City Manager Rod Foster, Interim Assistant City Attorney Erica Vega, and City Clerk Barbara McGee. City Treasurer Edward Carrillo was absent.

0o0

CLOSED SESSION

1. LIABILITY CLAIMS Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.5
Number of Claims: 2

Darrell Harris v. City of Rialto – STIPs to settle

Robert Smith v. City of Rialto – STIPs to settle

1. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS Pursuant to Government Code section 54957.6

City designated representatives:

Rod Foster; Angela McCray; Stephen Erlandson

Employee Organizations:

RPBA – Management RPBA - General

RFMA RMMA

RCEA CGMA

0o0

CLOSED SESSION

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, second by Council Member Trujillo and carried by a 5-0 vote to go into Closed Session at 5:04 p.m.

0o0

City Council returned from Closed Session at 5:30 p.m.

0o0

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Deborah Robertson called the meeting to order at 6:32 p.m.

0o0

The roll was called, and the following were present: Mayor Deborah Robertson, Mayor Pro Tem Ed Scott, Council Member Joe Baca Jr., Council Member Rafael Trujillo and Council Member Andy Carrizales. Also present were City Manager Rod Foster, Interim Assistant City Attorney Erica Vega, City Clerk Barbara McGee and City Treasurer Edward Carrillo.

0o0

Pledge of Allegiance and Invocation

Council Member Joe Baca Jr. led the pledge of allegiance.

Bishop Roxy Smith, Sold Out for Jesus Ministry gave the Invocation.

0o0

City Attorney's Report on Closed Session

Interim Assistant City Attorney Erica Vega stated the City Council convened into Closed Session to discuss the items listed on the Agenda and no reportable action was taken.

0o0

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

No Oral Communications.

0o0

CONSENT CALENDAR

B. APPROVAL OF WARRANT RESOLUTIONS

B.1 Warrant Resolution No.40 (04/16/2020)

B.2 Warrant Resolution No.41 (04/23/2020)

B.3 Warrant Resolution No.41a (04/23/2020)

0o0

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

C.1 Emergency City Council Meeting - March 12, 2020

C.2 Regular City Council Meeting - March 24, 2020

0o0

C. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY

D.1 Christopher Ruiz - Personal Injury

D.2 Gary Edwards - Vehicle Damage

D.3 Beatris Chavez - Personal Injury

0o0

CONSENT CALENDAR

E. SET PUBLIC HEARING

- E.1 Request City Council to Set a Public Hearing for May 26, 2020, to Consider the Placement of Liens for Delinquent Refuse Collection Accounts for the 4th Quarter of the 2019 Calendar Year.
- E.2 Request City Council to Set Public Hearing for May 12, 2020 to Consider and Approve the City's Five-Year Consolidated Plan (2020-2024), Annual Action Plan (2020-2021), Citizen Participation Plan, and Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (2020-2024)

0o0

F. MISCELLANEOUS

- F.1 Request City Council to Authorize the Release of Request for Bids No. 20-053 for the Construction of Protected-Only Left Turn Signal Phasing and Signal Hardware Improvements at Four (4) Intersections, City Project No 170811.
- F.2 Request City Council to Adopt **Resolution No. 7605**, Adopting a List of Projects for Fiscal Year 2020/2021 Funded by SB 1: The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017.
- F.3 Request City Council to (1) Accept the CDBG Curb, Gutter, and Sidewalk Improvement Project, City Project No. CB1805; (2) Authorize Filing of the Notice of Completion; and (3) Authorize Release of Retention to C-Works Engineering, Inc.
- F.4 Request City Council to Adopt Resolution No. 7606 Approving Tract Map 18827, a Request to Subdivide approximately 4.53 Gross Acres of Land into Thirty (30) Single-Family Lots and Six (6) Common Lots Located on the east side of Spruce Avenue approximately 300 feet south of San Bernardino Avenue (APNs: 0128-221-01, -02, -03, -10, -11, and -12); and Approve the Subdivision Improvement Agreement.
- F.5 Request City Council to Adopt Resolution No. 7607 Approving the Summary Vacation of an Unbuilt Street Right-of-Way Easement along Maple Avenue between Casmalia Street and State Route 210 and Reserving an Easement for Public Utility Purposes.
- F.6 Request City Council to Receive an Update on and Confirm the Continued Existence of a Local Emergency Throughout the City of Rialto in Response to the COVID-19 Outbreak and take other actions as deemed appropriate by the City Council.

0o0

Item F.6 ORAL COMMUNICATION

Recorded Comment on File

Ana Cabral, Union Representative for the United Food and Commercial Workers Union 1167, urged the City to implement additional worker protection during the COVID-19 crisis.

0o0

ITEM F.2

Mayor Robertson stated she went through the report and she had asked about the various times they have continued to indentify projects. Two areas they have talked about, Willow Ave. between Walnut and Chaparral and Lilac Ave. between Tahoe and Walnut. She knows they are taking action today to say they will send the list, and these are the projects. She sees they have added projects but have not addressed the ones they continue to re-list. The action they take is sending this to them and from what she has read and the patterns they have followed thus far, they in fact did not expend all the money they were allocated. She did see the State Controller's letter indicating they had not expended the money based on the match they needed to provide. She wanted to bring special to Lilac and Willow. She knows one of the streets is part City and County. She would ask they give some priority to this because what she is seeing tin the report is moving and acting on project overlay. But when she reads everything, the roads need more than an overlay. These are somewhat arterials. She doesn't think the current Public Works Director can tell them why projects they have continued to identify for three years and haven't got to except for the fact they keep changing the way they are going about addressing various zones and trying to finish zones. Now they start doing an overlay on a residential street that leads into an arterial that is beyond the repair of an overlay. They need to work with the County because the County also receives allocations and to help make these roads, they have joint jurisdiction, a priority the next go around. She wants to makes sure those priorities are at the top of the list and all the other things that have been added shouldn't go before the ones they identified three years ago and keep moving. She asked that be the direction as they move forward on this.

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated he has a concern about this item being a Consent Calendar versus a TAB item. He thinks there should have been more of a discussion. They need to be a little more transparent with the community on these paving projects. This should have been a TAB item.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated she doesn't disagree. She doesn't know why it's on Consent. She didn't look further to see if past items were TAB or Consent. This time they are talking about receiving an allocation of \$2 million for this year. There is no way they should sit there and act on knowing they will receive \$2 million and not have things done. Her concern is if they don't make that area an number one area and if she doesn't speak out, then those projects will be further delayed and will not be addressed in this fiscal year. What she heard was they need to take action and get this to CTC by May 1st.

0o0

CONSENT CALENDAR

Council Member Baca Jr. stated he appreciates the Mayor for bringing this item forward and agrees it should have been a TAB. In regards to unused funds, that is a concern because there is a need for streets and street paving. Lilac is in horrible shape and its still County. They need to work with the County or ask the County to help them and not put the sole burden on the City. They do need to have the County participate until they annex that part into Rialto.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated the City Manager shared with her that another City previously entered into a interagency agreement to be able to cover the cost and with an understanding for reimbursement. She had asked what is the schedule for this project to be designed and ready to list. She hasn't seen that answer yet. The concern is, the use of this money allows them to really broaden what they can do. Its for road maintenance and rehabilitation. But it can also be safety projects, railroad separation, complete streets, and safe routes to school. The roads are a passion for all of them. She wants to make sure they clean up and eliminate from the list before they move forward.

0o0

Motion by Mayor Robertson, second by Council Member Baca Jr. and carried by a 5-0 vote to approve Item F.2, that they make the list they have re-listed the priority.

0o0

Council Member Trujillo stated after listening to Ana Cabral for standards for the grocery stores. It's one of the last remaining places where they are gathering as a community to be able to do business together. He is working with her to get the language together for a resolution for the City. He understands there are issues with creating an ordinance because there is a cost related to it. He wants to show their support for public health and their grocery workers who are currently one of the last places where they are gathering. He would like to ask staff to bring that back at the next City Council meeting.

0o0

City Manager Rod Foster stated he was going to address that for City Council. Whatever the direction by City Council, they will be able to do that for them. If they want them to put a resolution together they will be more than happy to do it and bring it back at the next City Council Agenda.

0o0

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, second by Council Member Baca Jr. and carried by a 5-0 vote to approve the balance of the Consent Calendar.

0o0

**TAB 1 – Landscaping and Lighting
Maintenance District No. 2**

City Manager Foster presented the staff report regarding the annexation of territory to the Rialto Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 2.

0o0

Mayor Robertson declared the public hearing open.

0o0

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, second by Council Member Baca Jr. and carried by a 5-0 vote to close the public hearing.

0o0

City Clerk Barbara McGee tallied the 34 ballots.

YES - 34

NO - 0

0o0

Motion by Council Member Trujillo, second by Council Member Baca Jr. and carried by a 5-0 vote to Adopt Resolution No. 7608 Declaring the Results of the Property Owner Protest Ballot Proceedings Conducted for the Proposed Levy of Assessments Related to the Annexation of Territory to the Rialto Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 2, Commencing in Fiscal Year 2020/2021; and Request City Council to Adopt Resolution No. 7609 Confirming the Engineer's Report Regarding the Annexation of Territory to the Rialto Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 2, the Levy of Annual Assessments Described Therein, and the Assessment Diagram Connected Therewith, and Ordering the Levy and Collection of Assessments Commencing in Fiscal Year 2020/2021 for said Annexation.

0o0

**TAB 2 – City's Substantial Amendment to
the 2018-2019 Consolidated Plan One-
Year Action Plan**

Stephen Erlandson, Deputy City Manager presented the staff report regarding the City's Substantial Amendment to the 2018-2019 Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan.

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott asked when is the rest of the CDBG projects going to come forward to City Council. The next round of CDBG funding.

0o0

Mr. Erlandson stated for the 2020-2021, it will be at the May 12th City Council Meeting.

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated that he has a concern about transferring ADA money to be used for a park. He is not saying that the park work is not necessary or not a good idea, but they are in the middle of a disaster right now. They should be focusing CDBG money on how they can help people in the community who are in dire need of assistance.

TAB 2 – City’s Substantial Amendment to the 2018-2019 Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated he would like to make a motion to continue this item until the next meeting, when they do the rest of the CDBG and do them all together.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated as they make the Motion they need be clear with the change in the amendment and where those dollars will fall in the current year and if the dollars can be carried into the next year.

0o0

Council Member Trujillo stated these are the kinds of questions he is getting. People are losing their livelihood on the commercial side and the residential side. Is there a way they can use CDBG dollars to be able to assist residents and business owners during this climate. He wants to be able to explore those options. If they are going to be making any changes he hopes they address what is happening in the community right now. They have done a lot of work with the parks and he supports it, but at this time there is too much going on in the community where people are losing their livelihood, their businesses, and their homes. If there is a way to reallocate the CDBG funds to start addressing some of the emergency items they can redirect it would be a benefit to the community. Right now, it’s just not the time to be working on these kinds of projects when they have big issues happening in the community. He believes in two weeks in March, there was a year’s worth of people who went into EDD to file an unemployment claim.

He feels their community is disproportionate, and he feels there is a lot more impact in the community than what they can see. He is hoping to find a way to reprogram funds. He asks for this item to come back for the larger discussion.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated they need to address the money that is there and the money that is coming in from HUD and there is more to come to address some of the unfortunate outputs of COVID-19.

0o0

City Manager Foster stated this item is a public hearing, so they will need to open the public hearing and then close it before any action. If it’s the City Council’s will to continue until the next meeting. He would suggest the motion specifically say that the public hearing is being left open.

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated the public hearing was opened and his motion was to continue this item.

0o0

TAB 2 – City’s Substantial Amendment to the 2018-2019 Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan

Council Member Carrizales stated he agrees they are in a State of Emergency right now and if there is anything they can do to help the community is the best thing to do right now.

0o0

Council Member Baca Jr. stated this item was brought to him and the Mayor before the peak of COVID. Some of the things that were not explained in the entire presentation was why the money was being moved so he wanted to make sure it was very clear. He is in support if they need to move it. He doesn’t want it to seem like the intent was to be insensitive to anybody. They have the CARES Act money they have to make a decision on. They also have the next round of CDBG so there is an opportunity there to address COVID issues. If they need to move the money, he is all for helping the residents and in support of it. what happened is that one of the projects they had with CDBG came under funded. There was not enough money to finish the netting over at Bud Bender park. It will go unfinished if they don’t approve it. there was a project that came under budget, so they were going to shift the money to finish the project. But if it’s the will of City Council to not finish it and put it back into one big pool to give back to the residents under COVID, he is in support of that. He just wanted to explain that one came under budget and to move that money to finish the other project, it wasn’t explained in the report. He wanted it to be clear to City Council that they were not adding additional parks or additional things but if that is the will of the City Council then he is open to it.

0o0

Mayor Robertson asked if the money that was being moved is it in a fiscal year that allows them to carry that remaining amount into the next fiscal year. Or are they at the end the cycle for expending those funds.

0o0

Frank Perez, LDM Associates stated he believes the question is to confirm if the funds are at a particular deadline where they need to be spent.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated when they act on May 12th, they are acting on money and expending and allocating money that will be for the next fiscal year. This money is money that if they can move it and add it to the pot for addressing things, then fine. She doesn’t thing that is another piece as to where this money is allocated and what year and happens if they don’t expend it and apply it. earlier they said they don’t like when they lose money and that is the question. If they are not in any jeopardy then yes, they can roll it into the discussion on May 12th. She thinks this money came out of one of the allocation years.

0o0

Mr. Perez stated it came out of the 18/19 funding. So, its currently not in jeopardy.

TAB 2 – City’s Substantial Amendment to the 2018-2019 Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated he appreciates the comments from Council Member Baca Jr. and the project it was intended for. Its not his intention not to complete that project. He is concerned that they continue to have projects move forward that are underfunded. That tells him that their consultants underestimated the project. That puts them a bad position as they move forward on these types of projects and its not the first time its happened. He would like to come back with this item, and a report as to why that item was underfunded. And why the estimate wasn't correct, or was it in the bidding process. How did that occur. They at some point have to fix these issues with Public Works coming back with projects that are not funded in a proper manner. Either they are writing bad estimates, they have consultants writing bad estimates or they have bad bidding on these jobs.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated maybe the fact of being underfunded is not the correct statement on it.

0o0

Council Member Baca Jr. stated one of the things he was disappointed about was the presentation of how it was presented. He doesn't think City Council had any idea what they were approving or considering tonight. staff needs to do a better job. He doesn't think anyone even said it, he had to go and clarify it on who the money was being moved. It gave the appearance as if they were just shifting money around with no consideration for people or anything else, or being insensitive. He thinks that is wrong. They are not being insensitive people; they want to help people. That is the end goal. He wants to make sure that they do a better job making presentations of a project and why its being moved. There wasn't one explanation on why the money was being considered. That would pose a problem to the general public. If they want to bring it back, he is in support of bringing it back next week and having a discussion. He doesn't think City Council had any idea what was the action tonight and why it was there.

0o0

Council Member Trujillo stated from their perspective, they have been receiving a lot of input from the community who are panicked. People are losing their jobs; they are not able to fund rent or mortgage. He thinks it's important to be a little more sensitive to those needs. When the agenda item came up, he understands it came from a previous fiscal year. He doesn't think he can vote for something like this when they can find creative ways to be able to assist the residents. He is trying to do as much as he can to help those people in dire need. The numbers coming out are not looking good right now because it's a blue collar community and a lot of those industries they work in have been decimated.

0o0

TAB 2 – City’s Substantial Amendment to the 2018-2019 Consolidated Plan One-Year Action Plan

Mayor Robertson stated the way the staff report was written with the lack of history, puts the burden on the current staff to create the amendment. She agrees they are in a different time. When they were looking at this, one project was underfunded for the ADA. She recalls when they were completing Bud Bender Park, there were a number things they needed to address that were unforeseen. When they completed the project, they realized there was a protection issue. She doesn’t think it was at that project that was unfunded, but they saw a subsequent safety issue. All of that needs to come into the history for the public if they are going to be transparent about why they were trying to resolve something. Recognizing they are not going to be out in the fields anytime soon. She doesn’t think any of them are insensitive to their community needs because they all are a part of this community.

There are a lot of other numbers they need to look at, like council Member Trujillo stated unemployment numbers are up. The testing numbers are not reflective of the testing numbers being tested. Not to mention their Census data. If they look at it, they are at 54% and when you look at Rialto they are not in the top 50 of people who responded. Rightfully so because people are a little focused on more immediate issues of jobs, maintaining a home and eating.

0o0

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, second by Council Member Trujillo and carried by a 5-0 vote to continue the public hearing to the May 12th City Council Meeting.

0o0

TAB 3 – Receive and File the Fiscal Year 2018/2019 Audit

Stephen Erlandson, Deputy City Manager presented the staff report regarding Fiscal Year 2018-19 Audit Results and the Fiscal Year 2018-19 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

0o0

Joshua Calhoun, Teaman, Ramirez & Smith, Inc. gave a presentation regarding the Fiscal Year 2018-19 Audit Results and the Fiscal Year 2018-19 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated he wanted to make sure this was strictly a receive and file item. His assumption through the presentation that this is receive and file and it will come back at a later date.

0o0

City Manager Foster stated its receive and file and will not need to come back for further action. It will be final as the audit and posted on the City website.

0o0

**TAB 3 – Receive and File the Fiscal Year
2018/2019 Audit**

City Treasurer Carrillo stated that he met with Mr. Calhoun and Mr. Erlandson and expressed his concerns again about the failure to disclose in the CAFR and in the GASB54 statement; the general fund reserve. If he understood correctly, there was no mechanism for reporting it. In his own research through GFOA and GASB, they talk about they must report when they have a rainy day fund. Back in 2004, the City Council approved and ordained a general fund reserve policy and was specifically set up to address economic uncertainties and other financial hardships. And they are certainly in the middle of that with COVID-19. He thinks the CAFR is not accurate because GASB54 statement is not accurate because there is no disclosure regarding the rainy day fund, nor is there any footnotes in the financial statement. He thinks it should go back to the auditors and have it corrected before they accept it.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated as she read the report and there were some things that had been noted that were carried over from last year with the expectation that they were going to be resolved this year. She noticed some of those things had not occurred based on staffing issues. She knows they accepted an audit report a year ago and some of those things could be attributed to staffing. But some things, staff had knowledge of, and she is concerned they receive and file, and make notes of how they are going to correct. There was something under the procurement policy, procedures, activities and accounting. She was looking for where they have the opportunity to notify one of their governing agencies of requesting a grace period. The comment she read was that staff was not aware that they needed to request a grace period. This concerns her, even though they may have turnover they are supposed to have competent staff that should have awareness of things they are supposed to do and implementing. The comment to that is they are going to be trained. They were paying people to handle those types of things and somebody should already have the training and knowledge. Usually the notices will say exactly that, they had a grace period. She is concerned because these types of things constantly continue to slip. This is a receive and file but on the same note when someone is supposed to know they are to do something, and they don't do it. What is the action they are going to take on this? If it's just a matter of receive and file and there is no vote, she is good. If there is a vote that is needed other than the reports, then she recuses herself on certain items. She would also be voting to not accept the audit report. Because it's the audit team's second year looking at this. Some of the things they looked at last year should have given them some insight and some things they should have caught in that first year. The prior auditors should have caught some things. They continue to get these reports and they receive and file as they are. Holding people accountable, of who is supposed to do what and the people they pay that have a responsibility to inform them of everything. They pay consultants to ensure they are in compliance and they haven't discussed that.

0o0

**TAB 3 – Receive and File the Fiscal Year
2018/2019 Audit**

City Manager Foster stated they believe the audit ready for the City Council to receive and file which will conclude that audit. He stated she is very much right, receive and file shouldn't be receive and file and never do anything. It has to be receive, file and act. He will be hopeful when they have this conversation next year, which would be the audit of basically his first year as City Manager. Many of the things will be managed and dealt with. No excuse or reasons why things haven't been concluded in the past, except they are in the audit. There is a response and now they need to act upon. As the items are dealt with throughout the year, they will report back and tell City Council where they are. In regards to the audit and Josh's performance, he will leave that to him. This is simply a receive and file. To the City Treasurer's comment, he had conversation with him before the meeting and this was the first time in the 20 plus years of service that he has been a treasurer that he has actually been included in an audit report and given a briefing. He is glad the Treasurer is part of the review. They understand where he is coming from with the general fund. Steve is working on that policy as well. That will brought in short order to the City Council no later than the budget, which will brought to them in six weeks. The reality is, it is a receive and file and the item is actionable.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated she sees the item listed requiring action. Usually "Action" speaks to a vote and it usually does not speak to receive and file. They have new legal counsel and different opinions. She wants to understand what "Action" usually means. It usually is the action would be receive and file and it would not note to the public that it's an action item.

0o0

City Manager Foster stated that in his history when the City Council receives and files any report, including the consent, the City Council acted to receive and file. From there this audit will be finalized and placed on the City website and distributed as it needs to be.

0o0

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated he doesn't have a problem voting to receive and file this if that is necessary, even if the Mayor needs to recuse herself and vote No. But he does have the same concerns that she has, that they continue to have items addressed in audits and they never seem to get any response back. This has gone on for a long time. As for City Treasurer Carrillo's comments, he agrees with him that this issue, not a rainy day fund but they call it a City Reserve, but they continue to ask how much money is in the Reserve and where is it at. Is it liquid cash versus money that is invested or pigeon-holed. They have never got that answer and they need an answer. He doesn't like the answer to the City Council that you'll have that by budget time. He wants a more definitive answer on that as to when they are going to get it.

Mayor Pro Tem Scott stated that he will not vote on that budget come June, if they don't have an answer to the Reserve question. They need that answer.

0o0

Mayor Robertson stated she has to echo on the same point, because she spoke to the City Manager when the City Treasurer raised his concern on Mid-Year. She wants to make sure they understand exactly where they are and speak to what it is. She thinks there has been different conversations and discussions but in the time she has been on City Council, she has yet to have an investment report presented to the public. They have it and they post things monthly but an annual presentation. She would like to know how does that occur and when does that occur. She doesn't know if that is left to one individual, one department, to decide how they present. There needs to be an expectation that all of it is clear. There seems to be an ongoing of differences of what its and how its invested, is it liquid, is it at investment or other assets. She wants to add that as well because she too will not vote on the budget. They continue to be sitting there and day to day things that are policy. They said they didn't have this or didn't have that, and they moved people into interim assignments, and they hired people. From the day they hire them, they don't come in with training wheels, not at the salary that the citizens of Rialto are paying for them to be in their position. They come in with expertise and they have hired consultants to help and assist, until they can get the proper staffing. Yet, they continue to hang their hat on the justification, not in just this year and last year, the answer was they didn't have sufficient staffing. If they go back and look at the auditor before that, they also had issues of staffing. There is ongoing every year, when they have lost money, they've returned money, to get work done, somewhere they didn't have staffing. Why give them money, why send anything to them, why find they didn't do what they should do, or they didn't even take the time to exercise the policies or the direction from the governing bodies when they give them something. If they say they don't have staff. Somebody receives the mail, and somebody has responsibility for action. If nothing else, somebody should have the responsibility to put it in a letter or email to request an extension. They should not have to have that become an item that is identified in an audit report. She read the whole document, there was PowerPoint presented to them when they were all briefed and back up documents were made available if needed but they were not there when she was sitting through the PowerPoint presentation. It was only when she got the full report posted for the citizens as well, 72 hours before this meeting, that she was really able to really read through a lot of the findings. And the reasons of what they were going to do to remedy those findings. Those are just not acceptable or good enough for her. They just can't have the auditor to be paid and walk away and year from now come back. Even with bank reconciliation, she couldn't believe they still couldn't get caught up with bank reconciliation. They can get an account technician, somebody out of college and they can do bank reconciliation.

**TAB 3 – Receive and File the Fiscal Year
2018/2019 Audit**

Mayor Robertson stated that is not a hard task to do, if they have to do it in a whole year. She is frustrated they keep kicking this can down the road and now as somebody says, the buck is going to have to stop here unless they end up with another City Manager and have to start all over again. She doesn't think that is what the current City Manager is proposing. She hope they wrote his contract well enough, that if he wants to exit the door, it will have to be for cause, they won't give up a lot of money.

She would like to keep an open mind and keep her options open for reservation, that receive and file doesn't mean that she thinks this audit is clean because she also thinks, after their second year at the biting of the apple, they should be on track to stay consistent. That is one of the concerns she has. If there isn't anybody else, if not, then they will receive and file.

0o0

Action was taken on TAB 3.

0o0

REPORTS

Mayor and City Council gave their reports.

0o0

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Council Member Baca Jr., second by Council Member Trujillo and carried by a 5-0 vote, to adjourn the City Council Meeting at 8:45 p.m. in memory of:

First Four Rialto Victims of COVID-19

and

Lupe Pedroza

Rialto Resident

0o0

MAYOR DEBORAH ROBERTSON

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK BARBARA A. McGEE